

BHP Operations Sub-committee - Minutes



DATE AND TIME OF MEETING: Date: September 11, 2020 Time: 2:00 - 4:00 Location: via zoom	Internal	External x	Recorder: Jen Kurowski, Beacon Health Options	Draft	Final	
				Х		
			w			
			BHP Operations Subcommittee Meet			
ТОРІС			DISCUSSION/RECOMMENDATION			
1. 1115 Substance Use Disorder (SUD)	Bill Halsey gave a brief introduction on this topic and introduced today's speakers from Mercer, Brenda Jackson					
Demonstration Waiver	and Brad Diaz. He reminded the group that stakeholder involvement, engagement, and feedback are all needed in this process.					
	 Brenda Jackson (Mercer) presented the attached ppt, "Overview of the fiscal portions of the new program". 					
PIF			t this presentation uses the 3 rd edition of ASAM.	1 0		
SUD 1115 Waiver- Overview of Fiscal P						
Overview of Fiscal P	Q&A:					
	• Q - Marie M. asked whether this is for co-occurring.					
	 A – Brenda J. answered that yes, it can be for co-occurring. She explained that Substance Use 1115 waivers are very specific in what the program covers and what the goals are. 					
	 Q – Heather Gates asked what the thinking is on designing and how to meet criteria. 					
	$\circ A$ – Bill H. commented that we have 24 months in which providers can get to the standard, while the					
	rate goes into effect when the waiver goes in place; some standards will come almost verbatim					
	from the ASAM 3 rd Edition. Bill mentioned that he is not sure that we have language staying that					
	providers must follow the current version of ASAM. Bill went on to say that it is his understanding					
	that there will be some new criteria under ASAM 3 rd Edition.					
	• Q – Heather G. commented that there is a heavier emphasis on clinical care and she hopes the rates will be					
	adequate in terms of reimbursement for the level of resources needed. OA – Bill H. said that we are assuming this is heavy on clinical care and very heavy on discharge					
	planning. Brenda J. commented that this is addressed on slides 14 and 15 on specific staffing					
	assumptions.					
	• Q – Es	•	hat state this presentation is based on.			
	◦A – Brenda J. indicated that she is not at liberty to say what state it is, but she said she can assure the					
	group that it is a good example.					
	• Q – Heather G. asked if the members of the legislature have been a part of the conversation. She expressed					
			tate funding and federal requirements.			
			indicated that Slide 32 will show that this is a requirement and said			
			of state funds. Bill H. went on to clarify that it is well-known by the le enance of effort requirement in the 1115 SUD Waiver.	gisiature tha	it there	
	• Q – He		for clarification on the hypothetical cost and if it is for additional exp	penses that r	provider	
		ild incur.		• • • •		
		⊙A – Brenda J	. and Bill H. explained that we can carryover those costs to the hypot	hetical side o	of the	



BHP Operations Sub-committee - Minutes



	-			
	 ledger. It is very important to have provider input and for providers to understand the business models. Another critical component is to project the 5-year cost. Q – Heather G. asked a clarifying question about how MEGs (Medicaid Eligibility Groups) are defined.			
2. New Business and Announcements / Adjourn	 No new business Bill Halsey reminded the group that COVID Relief Funding is still available through 9/13/2020 			
3. Upcoming Meetings	 Meeting adjourned at 3:42 p.m. November 6, 2020 at 2:30 p.m. via zoom 			